

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/678,719	10/03/2003	Stanford R. Ovshinsky	FC-125	9870
24963	7590 09/09/2005	EXAMINER		INER
	CONVERSION DEVICE	MARTIN, ANGELA J		
	RVIEW DRIVE R HILLS, MI 48309		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	.,		1745	
			DATE MAILED: 09/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/678,719	OVSHINSKY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Angela J. Martin	1745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 O	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 October 2003</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3, 6, 8-15, 18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>4,5,7,16,17 and 19</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	or the contined copies her receive					
Attachment(s)		•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/3/03.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office						
PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office Ac	tion Summary Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail Date 20050904				

Application/Control Number: 10/678,719

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 14 is objected to because of the following informalities: It has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 2, 14, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Regarding claims 2, 14, 15, the phrase "cathode fuel" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear why "fuel" is being associated with "cathode". Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double

Page 2

Art Unit: 1745

patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1-3, 6, 8-15, 18 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of copending Application No. 10/663,281. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both claim cathode active material having oxygen storage capacity comprising a redox couple.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

- 7. Claims 1-19 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 3-9, 11-16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,703,156. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both claim cathode active material having oxygen storage capacity comprising a redox couple.
- 8. Claims 1-3, 7-15, 19 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,777,125. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both claim cathode active material having oxygen storage capacity comprising a redox couple.
- 9. Claims 1-19 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent No.

Art Unit: 1745

6,783,891. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both claim cathode active material having oxygen storage capacity comprising a redox couple.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 11. Claims 1, 3, 6, 18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kaneko et al., U.S. Pat. No. 4,362,791.

Kaneko et al., teach in an electrochemical cell, a cathode comprising a cathode active material including a valency change material (abstract). It teaches the valency change redox material comprises a metal oxide/oxide redox couple of manganese (abstract).

Thus, the claims are anticipated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

13. Claims 8-13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaneko et al., U.S. Pat. No. 4,362,791, in view of Sklarchuk, U.S. Pat. No. 3,791,896.

Kaneko et al., teach an electrochemical cell as described above.

Sklarchuk teaches a hydrophobic component (abstract; claims 1 and 4). It teaches PTFE is a separate layer within the cathode (claims 1 and 4). It teaches a current collector extending within active material (col. 1, lines 56-58). It teaches the collector is a grid (col. 1, lines 56-58). It teaches further including a catalytic carbon (claim 1).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to insert the teachings of Sklarchuk into the teachings of Kaneko et al., because Sklarchuk teaches the method of making its electrode "lends itself to automation and low manufacturing cost."

Allowable Subject Matter

- 14. Claims 4, 5, 7, 16, 17, 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 15. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Applicant claims in an electrochemical cell, a cathode comprising a cathode active material wherein the valency change material is a nickel hydroxide/nickel oxyhydroxide redox couple; or a copper or silver or zinc or cadmium redox couple; or a cobalt redox couple.

Art Unit: 1745

The prior art or record, taken either alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious the above claim limitations.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela J. Martin whose telephone number is 571-272-1288. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).